# EUCAST Technical Note on the method for the determination of broth dilution minimum inhibitory concentrations of antifungal agents for conidia–forming moulds

Subcommittee on Antifungal Susceptibility Testing (AFST) of the ESCMID European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST)\*

Keywords antifungal drugs, EUCAST Technical Note, filamentous fungi, resistance

Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14: 982-984

## INTRODUCTION

Antifungal susceptibility tests are performed on those fungi causing disease, especially if they belong to a species exhibiting resistance to commonly used antifungal agents. Antifungal susceptibility testing is also important for resistance surveillance, epidemiological studies and for comparing the *in vitro* activity of new and established agents.

Reference methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing rely on incremental dilution of the antimicrobial agents to determine the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and are mainly used to establish the activity of a new agent, to confirm the susceptibility of microorganisms that yield equivocal results in routine tests, or to determine their susceptibility when routine tests are either unreliable or not readily available. There is also a need for standardized methods for determining the *in vitro* susceptibilities of both new and established antifungal agents against clinical isolates of filamentous fungi as there is an increasing number of agents to choose from for treating invasive mould disease, and resistance to antifungal agents in some species has been documented [1-9].

Corresponding author and reprint requests: J. L. Rodriguez-Tudela, Mycology Reference Laboratory, National Center for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ctra. Majadahonda-Pozuelo Km.2, E-28220 Majadahonda, Spain E-mail: jlrtudela@isciii.es

\*J.-L. Rodriquez Tudela (Chairman, Spain), J. P. Donnelly (Secretary, The Netherlands), M. C. Arendrup (Denmark), S. Arikan (Turkey), F. Barchiesi (Italy), J. Bille (Switzerland), E. Chryssanthou (Sweden), M. Cuenca-Estrella (Spain), E. Dannaoui (France), D. Denning (UK), W. Fegeler (Germany), P. Gaustad (Denmark), C. Lass-Flörl (Austria), C. Moore (UK), M. Richardson (Finland), A. Schmalreck (Germany), J. A. Velegraki (Greece), P. Verweij (The Netherlands).

The Subcommittee on Antifungal Susceptibility Testing (AFST) of the European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) has developed a broth dilution methodology for determining the antifungal susceptibility of conidia-forming moulds that cause clinically significant invasive fungal disease. This technical note is based on the EUCAST method and the definitive document (E.DEF 9.1) is available in full on the EUCAST website at http://www.eucast.org.

#### **SCOPE**

The standard method described in the definitive document provides a valid method for testing the susceptibility by determining the MICs of antifungal agents for moulds able to produce conidia. These MICs show the activity of a given antifungal drug under defined test conditions, and can be used for patient management when other factors, such as pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics resistance mechanisms, are taken into account. The MIC permits moulds to be categorized as "susceptible" (S), "intermediate" (I), or "resistant" (R) to an antifungal drug. In addition, MIC distributions can be used to define wildtype or non-wild-type fungal populations.

The method described in the definitive document is intended to provide a valid, easy, rapid and economic method for testing the susceptibility of moulds to antifungal agents and to facilitate an acceptable degree of conformity, e.g. agreement within specified ranges, among laboratories. Since technical factors are of utmost importance, the standard focuses testing conditions including on inoculum preparation and size, the composition of the growth medium and incubation temperature and duration.

#### TEST PROCEDURES

Test procedures are similar to those published in the document entitled "Method for the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by broth dilution of fermentative yeasts" [10]. The medium recommended is RPMI 1640 supplemented with glucose to a final concentration of 2%. The preparation of stock and working solutions of antifungal agents and the preparation and storage of microdilution plates is identical to that described in the method for fermentative yeasts [10]. However, inoculum preparation is performed by counting spores in a haemocytometer chamber instead of adjusting the optical density of the culture using a spectrophotometer as this would require separate standardization for each species to compensate for differences in the size and colour of the spores [11-13]. In addition, the endpoints are read visually by recording the degree of growth for each well using a viewing mirror. Two different endpoints are obtained, the MIC and the minimum effective concentration (MEC). The MIC is recorded for polyenes, azoles and terbinafine whereas the MEC is reserved for the echinocandins - caspofungin, micafungin and anidulafungin. The MIC is defined as the lowest concentration of drug that yields no growth whereas the MEC is the lowest concentration of drug that results in macroscopic changes in filamentous growth to microcolonies or granular growth when compared with growth control wells. Reading the MEC requires a degree of expertise which can be acquired by examining under the microscope a small volume removed from each of the wells of the microdilution plate.

#### INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Interpretation of mould MICs is challenging and interpretative breakpoints have yet to be established. The clinical utility and relevance of testing moulds also remains uncertain. Most of the information available is derived from invasive aspergillosis, which is predominantly caused by Aspergillus fumigatus.

## Amphotericin B

There is no evidence of a clear correlation between the MIC of amphotericin B and outcome of treatment [14-16]. The most useful information

is often derived from complete identification of the fungus. Experience indicates that for most Aspergillus spp., MICs of amphotericin B are clustered between 0.5 and 2 mg/L. However, isolates of A. terreus may exhibit higher MICs [3,17] and, in general, infections due to this species are associated with a poorer response to amphotericin B compared with that found for infections caused by more common species of Aspergillus [3]. Therefore, high MICs of amphotericin B should be taken into consideration and alternatives to amphotericin B should be considered when an invasive fungal disease is due to A. terreus.

## Itraconazole

More is known about the detection of azole resistance than about a relationship between MIC and outcome [1,7]. Two isolates were collected from patients who did not respond to therapy with itraconazole. These isolates were resistant to itraconazole in a murine model of invasive aspergillosis and had elevated itraconazole MICs (MIC  $\geq$  8 mg/L) [1]. In addition, several studies have demonstrated that mutations in the cup51A gene are associated with high MICs of itraconazole [2,4-6]. Recently, the itraconazole wild-type population of A. fumigatus and the corresponding epidemiological cut-off has been described [18].

## Voriconazole

There is no evident correlation between the MIC of voriconazole and the outcome of treatment. However, as some isolates with high MICs of itraconazole and mutations in the cyp51A gene also exhibited elevated MICs of voriconazole, cross resistance cannot be discounted and should be taken into consideration when choosing therapy [2,4–6]. Recently, the voriconazole wild-type population of A. fumigatus and the corresponding epidemiological cut-off has been described [18].

# **Posaconazole**

It is not known whether there is any correlation between the MIC of posaconazole and the outcome of treatment. However, as with voriconazole, isolates with high MICs of itraconazole and mutations in the cyp51A gene may also exhibit elevated MICs of posaconazole, so cross resistance should be considered [2,4–6]. Recently, the posaconazole wild-type population of *A. fumigatus* and the corresponding epidemiological cut-off has been described [18].

## Caspofungin

There is no indication of any correlation between either the MIC or the MEC and outcome of treatment with caspofungin.

## Micafungin

There are no data available to suggest any correlation between the MIC and outcome of treatment with micafungin.

#### **QUALITY CONTROL**

The definitive document provides guidelines to assure the quality of the results by employing control strains as described in detail by the CLSI [19].

## REFERENCES

- Denning DW, Venkateswarlu K, Oakley KL et al. Itraconazole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997; 41: 1364–1368.
- 2. Diaz-Guerra TM, Mellado E, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. A point mutation in the 14alpha-sterol demethylase gene cyp51A contributes to itraconazole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; 47: 1120–1124.
- Lass-Florl C, Griff K, Mayr A et al. Epidemiology and outcome of infections due to Aspergillus terreus: 10-year single centre experience. Brit J Haematol 2005; 131: 201–207.
- Mellado E, Garcia-Effron G, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. Substitutions at methionine 220 in the 14alpha-sterol demethylase (Cyp51A) of Aspergillus fumigatus are responsible for resistance in vitro to azole antifungal drugs. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48: 2747–2750.
- Mellado E, Garcia-Effron G, Buitrago MJ, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. Targeted gene disruption of the 14-alpha sterol demethylase (cyp51A) in Aspergillus fumigatus and its role in azole drug susceptibility. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49: 2536–2538.
- 6. Mellado E, Garcia-Effron G, Alcazar-Fuoli L *et al.* A new *Aspergillus fumigatus* resistance mechanism conferring in vitro cross-resistance to azole antifungals involves a

- combination of cyp51A alterations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; **51**: 1897–1904.
- Moore CB, Sayers N, Mosquera J, Slaven J, Denning DW. Antifungal drug resistance in *Aspergillus*. J Infect 2000; 41: 203–220.
- 8. Patterson TF, Kirkpatrick WR, White M *et al.* Invasive aspergillosis Disease spectrum, treatment practices, and outcomes. *Medicine* 2000; **79**: 250–260.
- Verweij PE, van den Berth MFQ, Rath PM, de Pauw BE, Voss A, Meis JFGM. Invasive aspergillosis caused by Aspergillus ustus: Case report and review. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37: 1606–1609.
- Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Barchiesi F, Bille J et al. Method for the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by broth dilution of fermentative yeasts. Clin Microbiol Infect 2003; 9: 467–474.
- Aberkane A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Gomez-Lopez A et al. Comparative evaluation of two different methods of inoculum preparation for antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002; 50: 719–722.
- Petrikkou E, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M, Gomez A, Molleja A, Mellado E. Inoculum standardization for antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi pathogenic for humans. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001; 39: 1345–1347.
- Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Chryssanthou E, Petrikkou E, Mosquera J, Denning DW, Cuenca-Estrella M. Interlaboratory evaluation of hematocytometer method of inoculum preparation for testing antifungal susceptibilities of filamentous fungi. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41: 5236–5237.
- 14. Johnson EM, Oakley KL, Radford SA *et al.* Lack of correlation of in vitro amphotericin B susceptibility testing with outcome in a murine model of *Aspergillus* infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2000; **45**: 85–93.
- Odds FC, Van Gerven F, Espinel-Ingroff A et al. Evaluation of possible correlations between antifungal susceptibilities of filamentous fungi in vitro and antifungal treatment outcomes in animal infection models. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 42: 282–288.
- Rambali B, Fernandez JA, Van Nuffel L et al. Susceptibility testing of pathogenic fungi with itraconazole: a process analysis of test variables. J Antimicrob Chemother 2001; 48: 163–177.
- 17. Gomez-Lopez A, Garcia-Effron G, Mellado E *et al.* In vitro activities of three licensed antifungal agents against Spanish clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* spp. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; 47: 3085–3088.
- Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Mellado E, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Monzon A, Cuenca-Estrella M. Epidemiological cutoffs and cross-resistance to azole drugs in Aspergillus fumigatus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008; 52: 2468–2472.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi. Approved standard M38-A. 2002. Wayne, PA: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 2002.